

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
7

8 DOMINICK J. CHIAPPARELLI,  
9 Plaintiff(s),

10 v.

11 PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES,  
12 LLC, et al.,  
13 Defendant(s).

) Case No. 2:17-cv-03098-GMN-NJK  
)  
)  
)

**ORDER**

) (Docket No. 11)  
)  
)  
)

14 Pending before the Court is Defendant Portfolio Recovery Associates' motion to extend the  
15 deadline to respond to the complaint. Docket No. 11. As the motion acknowledges, however, the  
16 governing deadline expired two months ago. *Id.* at 1. To revive an expired deadline, a party must show  
17 both good cause and excusable neglect. *See, e.g.,* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(B). No such showing has been  
18 made. *Cf. Rybski v. Home Depot USA, Inc.*, 2012 U.S. Dist. Lexis 150152, at \*5-6 (D. Ariz. Oct. 17,  
19 2012) (collecting cases that settlement discussions, standing alone, are not grounds for an extension).  
20 Nor are the parties permitted to stipulate to extend a Court deadline without Court approval. Local Rule  
21 7-1(b). Nonetheless, given that there is no opposition and as a one-time courtesy, the Court will  
22 **GRANT** the motion in this case. **NO FURTHER EXTENSIONS WILL BE GRANTED.**

23 Absent a request being granted saying otherwise, the scheduling order at Docket No. 8 applies  
24 to Defendant Portfolio Recovery Associates.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: March 12, 2018

27  
28   
\_\_\_\_\_  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge